Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/634,104	MEHRABI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen J. Lechert Jr.	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Stephen J. Lechert Jr.	(3)	
(2) <u>Heidi Boehlefeld</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 October 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Provisional Obviousness-type double patenting rejection		
Claims discussed: 1-65		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEI Applicant's representative indicated that they will file a terminal of the provisional-obviousness type double patenting rejection, the	isclaimer in the later filed 10/724	S DISCUSSED: 379 application, which obviates
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	noture if any it
(Application	Abhodit a Lehiesettative 218	ııature – ir appropriate)